Application No.: 10/575,813 Filing Date: January 3, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-7, 11, 14-17 and 19-52 are presently pending. Of these, Claims 46-52 have been

withdrawn without prejudice to prosecution in one or more related applications. Claims 1 and 47

have been amended. As discussed below, no new matter has been added herewith. The following

addresses the substance of the Office Action.

Claim amendments

The term "immune-modulating agent" in Claims 1 and 47 has been replaced with the term

"immune-stimulating agent." Support for the amendment can be found throughout the originally

filed specification, including at paragraph [0007] which reads: "[T]he composition is especially

useful for stimulating or otherwise enhancing an immune response to a target antigen" and

paragraph [0079] which reads "The present invention contemplates the use in the compositions of

the invention of any antigen that corresponds to at least a portion of a target antigen of interest

for stimulating an immune response to the target antigen." Accordingly, Applicant submits that

the amendment is supported with the original disclosures and no new matter is added by the

amendment. Consideration of the currently amended claims is respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Nedwin et al.

The rejection of Claims 1-7, 11, 14-17 and 19-45 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly

being anticipated by Nedwin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,587,460) was maintained.

The Examiner has maintained his allegation that Example 7 of Nedwin discloses a

composition as claimed in Claim 1, being a composition comprising both: (1) a lectin-interactive

agent that falls within the specific agents (i.e., carbohydrates) claimed and (2) an immune-

modulating agent. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

The Examiner's view is that the scope of the term "an immune-modulating agent"

encompasses lectin because Nedwin describes making antibodies to lectin, and thus if antibodies

can be raised to lectin, it is a target antigen/part of a target antigen that elicits an immune response

as is therefore an immune-modulating agent as claimed.

-8-

Application No.: 10/575,813 Filing Date: January 3, 2007

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner; however, solely to expedite the prosecution of the subject application, Applicant has amended the term "immune-modulating agent" to "immune-stimulating agent."

Applicant submits that the pending claims are novel over the cited art in part because lectins are immune-suppressing agents, not immune-stimulating agents.

In view of the amendments to the claims and the above remarks, the claims are not anticipated by Nedwin et al. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) be withdrawn.

Request for Rejoinder

Upon allowance of Group I claims, Applicant respectfully requests rejoinder of withdrawn Claims 46-52, which are ultimately dependent on the elected Group I claims, and include all of the limitations of Claim 1.

No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims, or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, Applicant is not conceding in this application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather, any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that Applicant has made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

CONCLUSION

In view of Applicant' amendments to the Claims and the foregoing Remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner have any remaining concerns which might prevent the prompt allowance of the

Application No.: 10/575,813 Filing Date: January 3, 2007

application, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number appearing below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: December 10, 2010 By:/christopher t. sweeney/

Christopher T. Sweeney Registration No. 58,110 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20995 (206) 405-2000

10142937 120910